Docket No. 1163-0475P

Reply to Office Action of March 9, 2005

Art Unit: 3736 Page 10 of 13

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for the thorough consideration given the present

application. Claims 1-13 are pending in the present application. Claims 1, 5 and 6 have

been amended, and claims 3 and 4 have been canceled. The Examiner is respectfully

requested to reconsider the rejections of claims 1-2 and the objections to claims 5-13 in

view of the amendments to the claims and the remarks set forth below.

Acknowledgment of Information Disclosure Statements

The Examiner has acknowledged the Information Disclosure Statements filed on

October 24, 2003 and February 9, 2005. Initialed copies of the forms PTO-1449 have

been received from the Examiner. No further action is necessary at this time.

Drawings

The Examiner has acknowledged the acceptance of the drawings, and no further

action with respect to the drawings is necessary at the present time.

Claim for Priority

It is gratefully acknowledged that the Examiner has recognized the Applicant's

claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §119 based upon a Japanese patent

Docket No. 1163-0475P

Reply to Office Action of March 9, 2005

Art Unit: 3736 Page 11 of 13

application. In view of the fact that Applicant's claim for priority has been

acknowledged, no additional action is required from the Applicant at this time.

The Rejection Of Claims 1-4 Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-4 under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as

being anticipated by U. S. Patent 6,254,536 ("Devito"). The Applicant has amended claim 1

by incorporating the features of claim 3 into claim 1, and the Applicant has cancelled claim

4. The Applicant respectfully submits that amended claim 1 is not anticipated by the DeVito

patent.

Claim 1 has been amended to include an operation description displayed on a

display unit and the operation description specifies an operation to be performed on an

apparatus to be controlled. In other words, the operator of the apparatus is able to see an

operation description displayed on the display unit, and the operator is able to cause the

first storing unit to store the generated brain wave pattern therein and to associate it with

the operation that is being displayed on the display unit.

The DeVito patent does not disclose displaying an operation description on a display

unit and allowing the operator to associate a particular brain wave pattern to the operation.

In column 12, lines 12-27, DeVito teaches that an event is recognized and translated into a

control signal, and the control signal is mapped to a button. At best, DeVito discloses

Docket No. 1163-0475P

Reply to Office Action of March 9, 2005

Art Unit: 3736

Page 12 of 13

mapping events ("relaxed forehead", "lowered forehead", and "transition between raised

and lower forehead") to control signals that correspond to depressing buttons A, B, C. It is

respectfully submitted that in DeVito there is no disclosure displaying an operation

description on a display unit and allowing the operator to associate a particular brain wave

pattern to the operation. Accordingly, the Applicant believes that amended claim 1 is

patentable over DeVito, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the

rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

Dependent Claim 2

The Applicant believes that dependent claim 2 is allowable over the prior art for at

least the same reasons as independent claim 1 from which it depends.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner has indicated that claims 5-13 which depend from claim 1 are

objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if

rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and the

intervening claims. Claims 5 and 6 have been rewritten in independent form to include the

features of their base claims and any intervening dependent claims. Applicant respectfully

submits that claims 5-13 are in condition for allowance.

Docket No. 1163-0475P

Reply to Office Action of March 9, 2005

Art Unit: 3736

Page 13 of 13

Conclusion

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the

telephone number below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in

connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for

any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly,

extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

D. Richard Anderson, #40,439

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

DRA/RJM/kmr 1163-0475P